Bone Ninja Mobile App for Reverse Planning Method in Internal Limb Deformity and Lengthening Surgery


ABSTRACT

Aim: To report whether Bone Ninja (BN) is a reliable tool to teach the reverse planning method (RPM) for implantable intramedullary (IM) limb-lengthening devices and for deformity correction surgery.

Background: Motorised fully implantable intramedullary (IM) lengthening devices have been gaining popularity all over the world.1-3 The advantages of better patient comfort and fewer complications in comparison to external fixators, in conjunction with improved devices, have led to this development.4

However, in comparison to external fixators, the lengthening axis of an IM device is determined by the diaphyseal anatomy and the nail entry point. Mechanical axis deviation may result if careful preoperative planning and surgical intervention are not completed.5

These nails have the advantage of better patient comfort, accurate control, and less complications commonly associated with external fixators, such as pin tract infection, and fracture risk after fixator removal.4,6

To prevent this unplanned lateral shifting, Baumgart proposed the reverse planning method (RPM)6 that addresses the corrections needed to account for length, angulation, and translation created during lengthening along the nail axis. The original description calls for the use of life-size paper tracings of the bone and large light boxes to allow planning. We propose an alternative method using a digital tool that is readily available.

The BN mobile app was developed for patient/physician education and is available for the Apple iPad platform. Bone Ninja has been shown to have similar accuracy for measurements of the limb length and deformity angles when compared to the gold standard picture archiving and communication systems (PACSs).

Conclusion: Bone Ninja is a simple validated deformity correction tool with accuracy comparable to PACS. It is a reasonable alternative to paper/pencil cutouts for applying RPM for IM limb lengthening and deformity correction surgery.

Clinical significance: We proposed a digitised RPM for internal limb-lengthening surgeries, which is highly feasible and practical to use conveniently without the need for the traditional tedious paper-cutting procedure and related equipment.

Keywords: Bone lengthening, Intramedullary lengthening, Limb deformity, Motorised implantable nail, Reverse planning.

Strategies in Trauma and Limb Reconstruction (2019): 10.5005/jp-journals-10080-1425

BACKGROUND

Motorised fully implantable intramedullary (IM) lengthening devices have been gaining popularity all over the world.1-3 The advantages of better patient comfort and fewer complications in comparison to external fixators, in conjunction with improved devices, have led to this development.4

In contrast to external fixators, the lengthening axis of an IM device is determined by the diaphyseal anatomy and the nail entry point. Mechanical axis deviation may result if careful preoperative planning and surgical intervention are not completed.5

These nails have the advantage of better patient comfort, accurate control, and less complications commonly associated with external fixators, such as pin tract infection, and fracture risk after fixator removal.4,6


Source of support: Nil
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During lengthening along the nail axis. The RPM starts with the “ideal” final result of the projected lengthening and works backward to the preoperative status. The RPM plan serves as a reference to be recreated in the operating room.

The original RPM description by Baumgart calls for the use of life-size paper tracings of the bones, made off of 5′ long-standing films. In the era of digital X-ray, such long traditional films are usually no longer readily available. We propose a modified RPM using digital radiographs and a digital tool. Bone Ninja (BN), a mobile app, was developed for patient/physician education and is available for the iPad platform (Apple Inc., Cupertino, CA). This relatively inexpensive app allows for measurements and deformity correction planning without the need for scissors, paper, or expensive computer software packages, such as Trauma Cad (Brainlab, Westchester, IL). Bone Ninja has been shown to have similar accuracy for measurements of limb length and deformity angles when compared to the gold standard picture archiving and communication systems (PACSS). The aim of the current study was to report whether BN can also be feasible as a tool to teach RPM for implantable IM limb-lengthening device and for deformity correction surgery.

This study involved no human or animal subjects.

Technique
We used BN (version 4.2) on an iPad mini to perform the same RPM steps, using the same terminology originally described by Baumgart. Extra substeps with detailed instructions and some modifications specific to the BN app were added to better illustrate how to reproduce all the RPM steps. Cases from our archives with single plane deformity in the femur and the tibia were chosen to better illustrate the process.

Frontal Plane Varus Angular Deformity of the Femur—RPM for Retrograde Nailing (In the Presence of Normal Tibia)

Step 0 (Fig. 1A)
Preoperative deformity measurements were performed on BN including the malalignment test, mechanical/anatomical joint inclination angles, the apex of deformity, the osteotomy level (OL), and the magnitude of deformity and limb length discrepancy (LLD). A calibration tool (in this case a 1-inch steel ball, open slotted white arrow) is necessary to allow reproduction of the osteotomy site level and implant selection.

Step 1 (Fig. 1B)
Draw the mechanical axis of the tibia (vertical blue line, open black arrow) from the center of the ankle joint to the center of the knee joint and continue this line proximally beyond the projected final level of the femoral head. The new projected center of the hip joint lies at the intersection of this mechanical axis line and a horizontal line (horizontal blue line, closed black arrow) drawn above the existing femoral head by the desired added length.

Step 2
Identify the planned OL by measuring from the lateral condyle with the ruler and use the cut tool to outline the planned moving fragment (proximal fragment) (slotted open black arrow). Staying close to the cortical margins with the cut tool will generate a more natural image at the final step, permitting accurate measurements and reproduction.

Step 3
Draw the anatomic axis (green line) of the proximal segment. It should be long enough for easier selection as the moving part later (closed white arrow). Select the proper IM nail and align it exactly onto the anatomical axis (green line) of the proximal segment.
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Step 4

Using the thumb tack tool, select the anatomic axis, the nail, and the proximal femur segment to group as one moving fragment and then move the three selected items as a group to align the femoral head into the new position (CH) (red center, Fig. 1D). Swivel the proximal segment of the femur using CH as the center of rotation by placing the thumb tack tool on it until the following three criteria are met (Fig. 1E):

- The nail projecting out of the proximal segment should enter the distal femoral segment at the OL and should exit through the apex of the femoral notch.
- The lateral proximal femoral angle should be within acceptable range.
- The nail follows a feasible surgical path. Excessive reaming of the cortical bone in the plan should prompt reevaluation of the OL. A lower osteotomy will avoid this difficulty, but adequate size of the distal fragment must be maintained to allow locking screw and blocking screw fixation in the distal fragment.

Step 5

Deselect the anatomic axis line, move the grouped nail and the proximal bone segment distally along the nail axis (green line) until it reaches the distal fragment at the OL, and then mark the new hip center (green CH) (Fig. 1F). The size of the nail can be adjusted and measured. The recommended length of the nail is dependent on the presence of a sagittal bow in the femur and the desired amount of lengthening (Fig. 1G). Magnify the distal femur segment to measure its angulation and translation, which represent the final intraoperative goal to be replicated (Fig. 1H).

When doing the actual case, it is imperative to insert blocking screws around the nail.¹¹

The RPM using the BN app is not as feasible for antegrade nailing in frontal plane angular deformity of the femur, because there is very little room for changing the start point in the proximal femur. For example, moving the start point for a straight nail from the piriformis fossa to the greater trochanter will invariably create varus proximally.

Frontal Plane Angular Deformity of the Tibia—RPM for Antegrade Nailing

Note: Formal planning may not be needed if there is no tibial deformity, since the mechanical axis and the anatomic axis of the tibia are normally parallel to each other. If correction of a deformity is needed, the following method may be used.

Step 0

Step 0 is the same as the femoral planning method (Fig. 2A).

Step 1 (Fig. 2B)

Draw a vertical line (blue line, open black arrow) extending the femoral mechanical axis distally; this will define the planned mechanical axis of the leg at completion.

Step 2

The new ankle center (CA) is located along this line at a level distal to the native CA by the amount of lengthening required (horizontal blue line, open white arrow). Choose an osteotomy line near the apex of the deformity, with consideration of adequate fixation of the proximal fragment (closed white arrow).

Step 3

Draw the anatomic axis (green line, open slotted white arrow). Choose internal nail-long (in the hardware tab), and adjust the

Figs 2A to G: Reverse planning method for ante-grade nailing of tibia. Steps A–K, see text for details (Copyright 2020, Rubin Institute for Advanced Orthopedics, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore): (A) Tibial deformity planning; (B) Open black arrow (vertical blue line); open white arrow (horizontal blue line); closed white arrow (distal tibial osteotomised fragment); slotted open white arrow (vertical green line); CA, corrected ankle center; (C) Implantable intramedullary nail fit to the green anatomical line; (D) Swiveling of the implantable intramedullary nail at the newly corrected ankle center (red CA); (E) Swiveled implantable intramedullary nail; (F) Repositioned implantable intramedullary nail with the final corrected ankle center (green CA); (G) Final intraoperative alignment to be replicated
diameter of the nail, which will accommodate to the tibia canal, without excessive reaming after measuring the diameter of isthmus of the tibia and the nail. Align the nail exactly on the anatomical axis (green line) (Fig. 2C).

**Step 4**
Select the thumb tack tool, then the anatomical axis (green line), the nail, and the distal tibia segment. Place the center of the distal tibia to the point of CA as determined in step 2 (red dot) (Fig. 2D). Put the thumb tack tool at CA as the rotational axis, then swivel back such that the nail and its anatomical axis (green line) project onto the center of intercondylar of the tibia spine as the optimal entry point of nail insertion (Fig. 2E).

**Step 5**
Translate the nail together with the distal segment of the tibia along the anatomical axis by deselecting the anatomic axis, until contact of the fragments at the osteotomy site occurs. Mark the new (immediate postoperative) center of the ankle joint CA (green) (Fig. 2F). The size of nail can now be adjusted and measured. Magnify the osteotomy site to measure the translation and angulation angle (Fig. 2G). This is the image that should be reproduced in the operating room.

**Discussion**
Digital radiography has become the standard modality in most orthopedic centers over the past decade. The most commonly used system is the PACS that provides accurate, fast material transmission and saves hard copy storage space. In the field of deformity correction surgery, most PACSs do not allow for virtual bone-cutting and surgical simulation. Commercially available CAD/CAM programs like Trauma-Cad are designed for such purposes but are very expensive and not readily available in every centre. Therefore, many centers still rely on traditional methods of manual surgical simulation with paper and pencil.

The RPM was originally described based with the traditional method of paper, pencil, and scissors. However, the method can be cumbersome, and specialised equipment is still required for optimal execution of the RPM. Recently, Galal published a novel method of RPM that uses trigonometric formulas. While accurate, the introduction of trigonometry may be confusing to most orthopedic surgeons. In this paper, we demonstrate a high feasibility of BN to apply the RPM for IM limb-lengthening surgery, which is much easier to comprehend. In addition, BN is one of the few mobile applications that has been validated. The accuracy of deformity parameters after IM lengthening measured on the final manipulated fragments would appear to be comparable among all the planning methods, but validation would be desirable (Fig. 3).

An additional advantage to the digital method of reverse planning is the ability to modify the plan easily without additional resources (i.e., reprinting and retracing). At the completion of planning, it is not uncommon to need to optimise the OL to minimise cortical reaming. An osteotomy placed too high will generate the need for cortical reaming, while an osteotomy site too low may preclude adequate fixation in the distal fragment. The undo and redo functions of BN record all the previous steps, which is then easy to trace back and reedit without the need to replicate a new set of paper copies/drawing. Each planning step can also be exported easily as a high-quality jpeg image that enhances communication and discussion among surgeons and patients.

Bone Ninja is primarily a deformity planning program and has been equipped with sophisticated tools that allow accurate calibration, virtual osteotomy, and free manipulation of fragments in space, which are all important steps in RPM. The BN nudge tool facilitates very fine control of moving segments, allowing precise movements to specify point-to-point anatomy. Accuracy of measurements of distance or angulation is possible even while working in the small-screen iPad mini model, though we do prefer using the newer, larger-screen iPad models (ref. Whitaker).

Compared to other digital planning programs, BN is cheaper and more portable with easy access through the Apple App store. One disadvantage is that BN application is still limited to the iPad and is not available on other platforms such as Google Android.

**Limitations**
Due to a lack of additional virtual space distal to the level of the native radiograph, reverse planning with BN for the tibia requires modification of the initial image. Without adding additional space distally, multiple steps become difficult as the mobile fragment moves off of the available space. A simple modification is to capture...
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In short, BN is a simple, validated deformity correction tool with accuracy comparable to PACS. It is a reasonable alternative to paper/pencil cutouts for applying RPM for IM limb lengthening and deformity correction surgery.

**Conclusion**

Bone Ninja is a simple validated deformity correction tool with accuracy comparable to PACS. It is a reasonable alternative to paper/pencil cutouts for applying RPM for IM limb lengthening and deformity correction surgery.

**Clinical Significance**

We proposed a digitised RPM for internal limb lengthening surgeries, which is highly feasible and practical to use conveniently without the need for the traditional tedious paper-cutting procedure and related equipment.
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